



CASE STUDY

MAXIMIZING EMPLOYEE
PRODUCTIVITY



Data Services Industry

© 2011 Profiles International
www.profilesinternational.com

For More Information, Contact:
People Values - (425) 282-5090
Info@PeopleValues.com

Profiles  International
imagine great people®

Client Case Studies - Vol. 1

Copyright 2011 by Profiles International. Printed and bound in the United States of America.
All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced in any form or by any electronic or mechanical means including information storage and retrieval systems without written permission from the publisher.

Publisher

Profiles Research Institute
Dario Priolo, Managing Director
5205 Lake Shore Drive
Waco, Texas 76710-1732
Profiles International
(800) 960-9612
www.profilesinternational.com
www.americasmostproductive.com

Acknowledgements

President, Co-founder, Profiles International: Bud Haney
Editor-in-Chief: Dario Priolo
Managing Editor: Carrie D. Martinez
Assistant Editors: Mary Beth Bernheisel, Jacob Ford, Jeff Meyers
Creative Director: Kelley Taylor
Graphic Design Assistants: Colton Canava, Kristen Fletcher

ProfileXT®

In use by a data service organization



AT A GLANCE

CHALLENGES:

- Maximize employee productivity

RESULTS:

This study demonstrated the pattern and effectively identifies Top Performers:

- Top Performers correctly identified as Top Performers by the pattern: 3 of 3
- Bottom Performers incorrectly identified as Top Performers by the pattern: 0 of 3

An organization specializing in corporate policies and data services wanted a better way to identify potentially successful Account Executives. Presented with this task, a study was conducted to examine the relationship between employee productivity and the ProfileXT®.

Participants

Fourteen Account Executives within the organization served as the sample for the current study. Each employee in the sample was administered the ProfileXT® and had their performance at meeting sales goals evaluated by the organization. Based on the organization's performance evaluations, three members of the sample were identified as Top Performers, three were identified as Bottom Performers, and the remaining eight members of the sample were identified as Average Performers.

Job Match pattern

A Job Match pattern for the Account Executive position in this study was developed using a Concurrent Study format. The sample's Top Performing and Bottom Performing Account Executives served as the basis for the Job Match Pattern which identifies the unique scoring pattern of Top Performers. This pattern serves as the benchmark to which other employees can be matched.

Performance grouping

Based on the performance information gathered from the employer and the participants' ProfileXT scores, a pattern was constructed that described the qualities of the existing Top Performers. All 14 Account Executives were matched to the pattern. After a review of the participants' Overall Job Match Percent, a breakpoint of 87% or greater best identified Top Performing Account Executives. This 87% Job Match benchmark serves as a standard to which a candidate is likely to be a good match to the job.

Of the 14 participants in this study, four obtained a Job Match percent of 91% or greater. All three of the Top Performing Account Executives were selected by the pattern developed. Only two of the Average Performing and none of the Bottom performing Account Executives met this same benchmark.

QUICK FACTS

\$470k more
dollars generated by sales people who were selected by the Job Match Pattern

Details


Approximately nine of the ten Top Performers were selected as a good match to the Job Match Pattern, while four out of five of the Bottom Performers were not selected.

While only 54% of the total sample were selected as a good match to the Job Match Pattern, 92% of the most successful sales persons were included in that selected group. Only 20% of those who seem to be challenged by the position achieved favorable pattern match.



1. According to the information provided to Profiles International by the organization, the average sales dollars generated by Top Performers in the Account Executive sample was \$1,250,000, while the average Bottom Performer in this sample generated \$850,000 of their performance goals.
2. The average dollars generated by those who did meet or exceed the Job Match benchmark was \$1,310,000. The average dollars generated by those who did not meet the Job Match benchmark was \$840,000. This is an average difference of \$470,000 between those selected and those not selected by the Job Match Pattern.

Clearly, selection practices at the organization have been improved by using the ProfileXT®.



Summary

Using the ProfileXT to benchmark employees, the organization is able to successfully screen Account Executive candidates. Of the five individuals who either met or exceeded the Job Match Percentage benchmark, none were Bottom Performers. Additionally, 100% of the Top Performers were included in this group. Clearly, selection practices at the organization have been improved by using the ProfileXT.